

## **The Soviet Long-Term Strategy, Climate Protection, the Fall of the Berlin Wall, and the German Constitution**

In 2023, the former President of the German Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution, Dr. H.G. Maaßen, publicly announced for the first time that the climate protection narrative is based on a strategy developed by the Soviet secret service KGB in the 1960s to infiltrate the West. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l2kctjmoDqI>

Effective 19 March 2025, the terms “climate” and “climate neutrality by 2045” were included in the German Constitution for the first time (new Article 143 h). See the LTO article on this topic: <https://www.lto.de/recht/hintergruende/h/sondervermoegen-klimaschutz-klimaneutral-grundgesetz-2045>

“However, the state's obligations to achieve climate neutrality, as set out in the Federal Climate Protection Act (KSG), for example, remain unaffected.”

The LTO continues:

“Already in its groundbreaking climate decision from 2021, the First Senate of the Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG) determined an obligation to protect the climate from the state goal of environmental protection under Article 20a of the Constitution. Since the Earth's climatic condition is largely determined by its average temperature, which in turn is fueled by man-made greenhouse gas emissions, the ultimate goal of climate protection, according to the BVerfG, is to prevent a progressive temperature increase caused by further greenhouse gas emissions. Environmental protection in the sense of Article 20a of the Constitution therefore includes climate protection, which in turn primarily means climate neutrality. As a legal norm that requires specification but is nevertheless justiciable, the climate protection mandate of Article 20a of the Constitution also binds the legislature.”

Thus, climate protection – Dr. Maaßen describes it as a means of undermining the Constitution – has now found its place in the German Constitution. 35 years after the fall of the Berlin Wall and German reunification, a “cancer cell” has been implanted into the Constitution, a late victory for the KGB climate protectors! Presumably, in a few months, the first penalties will be borne by drivers who violate the goal of climate neutrality, now enshrined in the Constitution, with their private mobility.

The members of the Warsaw Pact were informed about the start of the implementation of the long-term strategy at a 1966 conference by the Soviets: <https://www.weltoktober.de/leseprobelang.html>

### **In 1960, Soviet Party and Government Leader Khrushchev Ordered the Building of the Berlin Wall – with Unplanned Consequences**

It was carried out by the East Berlin authorities on 13 August 1961, according to secret plans. Until that day, there had been no border with crossing points, passports or customs controls within Berlin between the Western sectors and the Soviet sector. One could simply walk across the street or take the next subway car and leave East Germany. The building of the Wall introduced border crossings, and initially East German citizens could no longer leave the country privately.

Only the Helsinki Accords of the early 1970s allowed East Germans a limited number of temporary and permanent exits.

What the Soviets had not considered was that their planned economy, which they had imposed on their socialist vassals using a copy-paste procedure, would no longer work after the establishment of the Wall in the only industrialized country of the Eastern Bloc. East Germany

(German Democratic Republic, GDR) **was on the verge of bankruptcy because exports and foreign exchange earnings had fallen sharply.** Unlike the Soviet Union, the GDR imported raw materials and exported industrial goods, mostly manufactured on machines produced by companies from West Germany. This meant spare parts had to be paid for in West German marks, which the GDR state bank would issue only if this was foreseen in the national economic plan. As a reminder, the GDR mark was a domestic currency and not convertible.

However, only specific products could be considered for import in the new planning year drawn up in the previous year. There was no planning for emergencies and spare parts imports in general, since it was unknown what would break. This constellation was unknown in the Soviet Union and was therefore not included in the import section of its national economic plan. On the other hand, when the Soviet Union imported large-scale equipment from the West, they calculated in the spare items and accessory parts on a flat-rate basis for up to 10 years; these were tied directly to the project.

Thus, in one fell swoop, the Soviet doctrine of the victorious working class overcoming capitalism lost its credibility after 1961. The GDR slogan regarding the standard of living in the Federal Republic – “Overtaking without catching up” – provoked fits of laughter in both the West and the East.

Until 13 August 1961, East German companies dealt with (minor) cases by obtaining supplies from the Western sectors of Berlin, where East German marks were exchanged (illegally) at a currency exchange and used to purchase the replacement part.

When the GDR leadership recognized the problem, in 1964, Alexander Schalck-Golodkowski, the then First Secretary of the SED District Leadership for Foreign Trade, proposed a market-based foreign trade sector **in addition to** the planned economy foreign trade. However, his suggestion was approved by Moscow only in 1965 – after Khrushchev's fall in October 1964 – and was subject to a condition.

### **What Does This Have to Do with the Soviet Long-Term Strategy?**

As mentioned, the Soviets planned the controlled disintegration of the Soviet socialist system – to rehabilitate it – with a deceptive operation while secretly maintaining Moscow's imperial claims to world domination. They no longer planned violent upheavals through workers' revolution for the West but rather infiltration. Dr. Maaßen speaks of “*growing the West into socialism.*”

### **20 Measures the Soviets Created to Successfully Implement Their Long-Term Strategy**

1. Creation of a market economy structure in GDR foreign trade – **in addition to** the planned economy foreign trade – for the long-term legal cofinancing of the infiltration of the West. In 1966, the Commercial Coordination (KoKo) department was founded for this purpose within the East Berlin Ministry of Foreign Trade.
2. Beginning in 1967, KoKo set up representative companies in East Berlin, West Berlin, West Germany, and several other Western European countries; it had a monopoly on brokering export business from Western suppliers to the GDR. Their previous (Western) representatives became obsolete. In the monopolized foreign trade of the GDR, there were now “subsidiaries” in Western Europe with predominantly Communist owners in the West and almost always a partner from East Berlin, Manfred Seidel of KoKo (Alexander Schalck-Golodkowski's deputy).

3. The business model was as follows: An East German foreign trade company ordered goods from a supplier in the West and paid for them with foreign currency the state bank of the GDR provided for the import according to the national economic plan. Once the transaction had been completed, the KoKo company in the West or in East Berlin received a commission. In the case of the East Berlin company, the money went to the KoKo's house bank, the Handelsbank.
4. In the case of Western KoKo companies, the profit was distributed to the shareholders the following year. Here, too, the money for the GDR trustee went to the KoKo's house bank. The commission (3-5%) flowed from the state bank of the GDR via intermediate stations to the KoKo's house bank in East Berlin. KoKo funds were not subject to revision by the GDR Ministry of Finance; Koko had its own revision department.
5. How Western companies used the funds generated by the commissions to implement the long-term strategy is beyond my knowledge.
6. When the wall fell in 1989, there were about 120 KoKo companies in Western Europe and about 30 in the GDR! Moscow made a virtue out of the GDR's necessity and created bridgeheads in Western European countries to legally finance the infiltration. *Note:* I was a KoKo employee and a temporary agency worker in the liaison office of a US corporation in the East Berlin International Trade Center (IHZ) from 1986-1990.
7. In 1967, the future founder and president of the Club of Rome reached an agreement with the Soviets on the club's goals and statutes. Until 1992, KGB generals were always (undercover) full club members.
8. **The fight for climate protection (being the overarching social goal) gradually replaced the ideology of class struggle in Marxist philosophy. Thus evolved the fight against exploiters (the owners of the means of production) into the fight for climate protection and against its deniers.**
9. In 1972, the Institute for Applied Systems Analysis was founded in Laxenburg near Vienna together with the USA, and from 1976, with a branch in Moscow. From 1982, both institutes began training young Soviet politicians for the post-Perestroika period. This, too, was part of the long-term strategy. <https://iiasa.ac.at/about-iiasa/institute/history>
10. As early as 1987, the next step in the implementation of the long-term strategy was conceived – **the proposed fall of the Wall**. However, Erich Honecker was against it. <https://www.spiegel.de/spiegel/vorab/gorbatschow-und-schewardnadse-wollten-frueheren-mauerfall-a-1000505.html>
11. This is why the fall of the Wall had to be planned as a secret service operation in 1989 – <https://operation-ljutsch.de/band-2.html> – de facto a Soviet-initiated coup. At the same time, the “group of responsible persons” had to be formed for the media to explain the fall of the Wall: “the peaceful revolutionaries.”
12. After the dissolution of the Soviet Union at the end of 1991, the privatization of state property was initiated in the successor states in 1992. The Communist Party, along with its ideology and structures, ended up in the garbage dump of history, and former functionaries became oligarchs who reintroduced the capitalist market economy. In 1995, about 75% of the oligarchs in Russia were Jews, although Jews made up less than 1% of the population of the Soviet Union. In 1991, the population was about 293 million. As early

as 1988, US and Israeli financial oligarchs had begun to establish contacts with young Jewish scientists and functionaries through the rabbinate in the Soviet Union to supply them with USD loans during the privatization and thus covertly participate in the privatization.

13. This transformation took a similar course throughout the entire Soviet sphere of influence, with the exception of the GDR, which was unified with the FRG. Everything typical of the GDR was eliminated, and West German oligarchs and officials took over former state property and management functions. Because of a lack of capital, GDR citizens could only participate in the privatization of their property to a very limited extent. ***“Eighty-five percent of the former public property was transferred to West Germans, 10 percent to international investors, and only just under 5 percent to East Germans.”***  
<https://www.mdr.de/geschichte/ddr/deutsche-einheit/treuhand/betriebe-verkauf-volkseigentum-100.html>  
Why was so little property sold to the East Germans, the previous owners? The reason lay in the wage spread in the socialist GDR of about 1:10 (ratio of lowest to highest wage), and that – for ideological reasons following the Soviet expropriation of the exploiters – there was hardly any private ownership of the means of production. In short, the East was poor. (Almost) everyone lived in virtual poverty. In comparison, in 2018, the head of the German Postal Service received a salary 232 times that of his employees.  
<https://www.zeit.de/wirtschaft/2018-07/dax-konzerne-gehalt-einkommensgerechtigkeit>
14. In the successor states of the USSR, too, privatization was largely a PR coup, the choicest pieces going to officials and Western financial oligarchs – for peanuts, as the saying goes.
15. In East Germany, the property rights of citizens or their heirs who had been expropriated after 1945 were largely reinstated (restitution).
16. In 1966, Leonid Brezhnev ordered the secret Soviet long-term strategy of undermining the West and dismantling state socialism. A period of declining economic growth, increasing technological disparity with the West, and nationwide corruption began in the Soviet Union when Brezhnev came to power. Stagnation wherever you looked. Was this the policy Brezhnev had planned to make the necessity of liquidating state socialism credible to the masses?
17. Would it have been possible to imagine a peaceful dissolution of the Soviet Union – without the stagnation and decline people experienced over 20 years? Could the masses' desire for something new be realized only in this way? Did this pave the way for the peaceful demolition of state socialism?
18. Three years after Brezhnev's death, in 1985, with Gorbachev's coming to power and his Perestroika, this stagnation passed into the liquidation phase of state socialism. This enabled the Americans, after the dissolution of the Soviet Union and Eastern European state socialism at the end of 1991, to implement worldwide globalization, including in Russia. This occurred 25 years after Brezhnev had presented the long-term strategy at the Warsaw Pact summit. Henry Kissinger considered the term *globalization* a synonym for US domination, but it seems to me that it was merely a necessary intermediate step toward establishing the New World Order, also known as Communism. Now, in light of the more than 35,000 sanctions against Russia and Trump's ongoing tariff war, globalization is ending.

19. A horrible suspicion suggests itself: Is the stagnation, deindustrialization, and impoverishment of the masses of Germany observed since the takeover of East Germany in 1990 perhaps a planned preliminary stage for the coming transformation to the New World Order? Is the desire of the impoverished masses (app. 50% of people in Germany) for something new being created again? Is this Brezhnev 2.0?

20. The socialist ideas of the previous and new governments give credence to such fears. Many current German politicians were shaped in their youth by the described infiltration of socialism. Since the 1980s, they were Maoists, leaders of trade unions, members of the DKP, the SPD youth organization, or the Greens, which were subsidized by East Berlin until 1990. The East German left (Linke), formerly the SED, provided the prime minister of the federal state of Thuringia from 2014 to 2024. He was a former West German labor union official. Since there was a broad ban on employment in East Germany after 1990 because of the involvement of politicians with the East German secret police (Stasi), West German politicians were able to make a name for themselves. Does this show the foresight of the planners of the long-term strategy?

**Distribution of financial assets in Germany 2024**

	Anzahl HH absolut gesamt	Geldvermögen gesamt	Anteil Geldvermögen je Schicht	Anteil Geldvermögen je Haushalt in Euro
	40.000.000	9.000.000.000.000		225.000
<b>Oberschicht</b>	4.000.000	davon 70%	6.300.000.000.000	1.575.000
<b>Mittelschicht</b>	16.000.000	davon 29%	2.610.000.000.000	163.125
<b>Unterschicht</b>	20.000.000	davon 1%	90.000.000.000	4.500
<b>Summe</b>	40.000.000	100 %	9.000.000.000.000	

<https://www.bundesbank.de/de/presse/presenotizen/geldvermoegensbildung-und-aussenfinanzierung-in-deutschland-im-dritten-quartal-2024-945458>

You can read more about this in my book *Von Anfang und Ende der Globalisierung*, published by Kindle/Amazon in March 2024 (360 pages), and in the excerpt *Ein Rückblick nach 35 Jahren* (148 pages), published by BOD (books on demand) on 2 September 2024, which is now available in all German-language bookstores. For more on this topic, also see <https://www.1989mauerfall.berlin>

The English version of the book *Ein Rückblick nach 35 Jahren* is to be published under the title *Berlin 1989 – The Launch of Soviet Long-Term Strategy* at the end of May 2025.

Michael Wolski

08 May 2025